Appendix 2

Members' Update for 20/0116/FUL

CIRCULATED BEFORE THE MEETING



REPORT of DIRECTOR OF SERVICE DELIVERY

to SOUTH EAST AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE 17 FEBRUARY 2021

MEMBERS' UPDATE

AGENDA ITEM NO. 6

Application Number	20/01166/FUL	
Location	Land South Of Charwood and East of Orchard House, Stoney Hills, Burnham-On-Crouch	
Proposal	Construct cul-de-sac road with turning head and vehicular and pedestrian access off Stoney Hills, erect one detached bungalow and garage, lay out parking spaces and garden	
Applicant	Mr Burrows - Virium Technology Limited	
Agent	Mr Stewart Rowe - The Planning And Design Bureau Ltd	
Target Decision Date	22.02.2021	
Case Officer	Louise Staplehurst	
Parish	BURNHAM NORTH	
Reason for Referral to the Committee / Council	Departure from the Local Plan 2017 Member call in by Councillor Vanessa J Bell Reason: The proposed dwelling, as a result of its style, design and bulk, would result in a contrived and dominant development which would cause significant and undue harm to the character and the surrounding area. The proposal is contrary to policies S1, S2, D1 and H4 of the LDP. MDC has it's 5-year plus land supply. Overlooking loss of privacy to immediate property. This is an overdevelopment of the site having 53 houses already being approved on Stoney Hills, outside the NDP & LDP allocations. It is outside the development boundary. No difference to previous application.	

8.5 Representations received objecting to the application

1 letter of objection has been received which was omitted from the report. A further objection has been received from an initial objector.

Objection Comment (summarised)	Officer Response
Overdevelopment of the area	Comments noted. See section 5.3
Wildlife loss	The plot infills the wider site, which has
	been cleared for development. It is not
	considered that the development of the site

	would result in materially worse harm in
	terms of impact on wildlife.
Traffic concerns	Comments noted. Within appeal decisions
Traine concents	for new dwellings in Stoney Hills,
	Inspectors have <u>not</u> accepted this to be a
	reason to object to new development in the
	area. (The officer response within the report
	should read the same as this, rather than
	saying this is an accepted reason to object).
Noise nuisance from the development	This is not a material planning consideration
Noise nuisance from the development	and cannot be taken into account when
	assessing the application.
Concerns over impact on neighbours,	Comments noted. See section 5.4.
including impact on light, privacy	Comments noted. See section 3.4.
The plans inaccurately show where	Amandad plans have been received and
neighbouring sites are located	Amended plans have been received and show the dwelling under construction south
heighbouring sites are located	of Orchard House
Naisa mallytian	
Noise pollution	Noise arising from the residential use of the site is not considered to be at such a level
	that would justify the refusal of the
Duovinsity hoters on Onehond House and the	application.
Proximity between Orchard House and the	The amenity area is within the southern part
proposed amenity space	of the site and not directly adjacent to Orchard House/
NT	
Not sustainable	Please see section 5.8.
Concerns with drainage	Drainage details are required via condition.
Photographs have been submitted to support	These are noted, however do not alter the
the objection comments	Council's assessment of the application.
Restrictive covenants exist on the site	This is not a planning consideration.